

Stochastic inequalities for single-server loss queueing systems

Vyacheslav M. Abramov**

18 April 2006

Abstract

The present paper provides some new stochastic inequalities for the characteristics of the $M/GI/1/n$ and $GI/M/1/n$ loss queueing systems. These stochastic inequalities are based on substantially deepen up- and down-crossings analysis, and they are stronger than the known stochastic inequalities obtained earlier. Specifically, for a class of $GI/M/1/n$ queueing system, two-side stochastic inequalities are obtained.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Stochastic inequalities for $GI/GI/1/n$ queues	4
3	Stronger inequalities for $M/GI/1/n$ queues	7
4	Further stochastic inequalities for the $GI/M/1/n$ loss system	9
4.1	Monotonicity	9
4.2	A branching process	11
4.3	The number of losses during a busy period	14

1 Introduction

The goal of the paper is to establish stronger stochastic inequalities for the number of losses during a busy period than those are obtained earlier in [3]. The number of losses during a busy period is a significant characteristic for analysis of loss probability and other performance measures of real telecommunication systems, and detailed stochastic analysis of losses in queueing systems seems to be very important.

*School of Mathematical Sciences, Monash University, Building 28M, Clayton Campus, Clayton, VIC 3800, AUSTRALIA. Vyacheslav.Abramov@sci.monash.edu.au

For the purpose of detailed stochastic analysis of losses we develop the up- and down-crossings approach initiated in a number of earlier works of the author [1]-[4]. It is proved in [3] that if the inter-arrival time distribution of $GI/M/1/n$ queue belong to the class NBU (NWU), then the number of losses during a busy period is stochastically not smaller (respectively not greater) than the number of offspring in the $n + 1$ st generation of the Galton-Watson branching process with given offspring generating function (see below for the more details). The Galton-Watson branching process is a well-known process having relatively simple explicit expressions for its characteristics. At the same time the explicit results for the number of losses in the $M/GI/1/n$ and $GI/M/1/n$ queues are very hard for applications.

In this paper we obtain two-side stochastic inequality for the number of losses during a busy period of the $GI/M/1/n$ queueing system, where the left and right sides are branching processes.

Note that other inequalities related to the number of losses during a busy period in the different loss queueing systems were obtained in [9], [10], [12] and others papers.

The paper starts from elementary extension of the inequalities obtained in [3] to some special class of $GI/GI/1/n$ queues, which includes $M/GI/1/n$ queueing systems with NBU (NWU) service time and $GI/M/1/n$ queueing systems with NBU (NWU) interarrival time as particular cases. For our further convenience the $GI/GI/1/n$ queueing system will be denoted $A/B/1/n$, where $A(x)$ is the probability distribution function of an interarrival time, and $B(x)$ is the probability distribution function of a service time. Then, for the $M/GI/1/n$ and $GI/M/1/n$ queueing system we often use the notation $M/B/1/n$ and $A/M/1/n$ respectively. For the definition of the classes of distributions such as NBU, NWU and all other, that are used in the paper, see [11].

Throughout the paper the following notation is used. For $\Re(s) \geq 0$ we denote the Laplace-Stieltjes transforms of the probability distributions $A(x)$ and $B(x)$ by $\hat{A}(s)$ and $\hat{B}(s)$ respectively, and the reciprocals of the expected inter-arrival and service times are denoted by λ and μ respectively. The aforementioned Laplace-Stieltjes transforms are in fact used for real values of argument, specifically only the values $\hat{A}(\mu)$ and $\hat{B}(\lambda)$ are used throughout the paper.

The number of losses during a busy period is denoted L_n .

For the $A/M/1/n$ queue we have the inequality $L_n \geq_{st} Z_{n+1}$ in the case where an interarrival time is NBU, and the opposite inequality, $L_n \leq_{st} Z_{n+1}$, in the case where an interarrival time is NWU (see [3]). Z_n denotes the number of offspring in the n th generation of the Galton-Watson branching process with $Z_0 = 1$ and the offspring generating function

$$g_Z(z) = \frac{1 - \hat{A}(\mu)}{1 - z\hat{A}(\mu)}, \quad |z| \leq 1.$$

The method of [3], adapted to the $M/B/1/n$ queue, provides the following inequality:

$$L_n \leq_{st} Y_{n+1} \quad \left(L_n \geq_{st} Y_{n+1} \right) \quad (1)$$

in the case where the service time is NBU (NWU). Y_n is the number of offspring in the n th generation of the Galton-Watson branching process with $Y_0 = 1$ and the offspring generating function

$$g_Y(z) = \frac{\widehat{B}(\lambda)}{1 - z + z\widehat{B}(\lambda)}, \quad |z| \leq 1.$$

(See Section 2 for details of proof.)

A deepen analysis of these two queueing systems, given in Sections 3 and 4, enables us to obtain the following stronger results than that permits us the method of [3].

For the $M/B/1/n$ queue in the case where $B(x)$ belongs to the class of NBU (NWU) distributions it is shown that

$$L_n \leq_{st} \sum_{i=1}^{Y_n} \tau_i \quad \left(L_n \geq_{st} \sum_{i=1}^{Y_n} \tau_i \right), \quad (2)$$

where $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots$ is a sequence of independent identically distributed nonnegative integer random variables,

$$P[\tau_i = k] = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda x} \frac{(\lambda x)^k}{k!} d B(x).$$

Representation (2) is preferable than (1). For example, it follows from (1) that

$$E[L_n] \geq \left[\frac{1 - \widehat{B}(\lambda)}{\widehat{B}(\lambda)} \right]^{n+1} \quad \left(E[L_n] \leq \left[\frac{1 - \widehat{B}(\lambda)}{\widehat{B}(\lambda)} \right]^{n+1} \right). \quad (3)$$

In turn, by using the Wald's equation, from (2) we obtain

$$E[L_n] \geq \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \left[\frac{1 - \widehat{B}(\lambda)}{\widehat{B}(\lambda)} \right]^n \quad \left(E[L_n] \leq \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \left[\frac{1 - \widehat{B}(\lambda)}{\widehat{B}(\lambda)} \right]^n \right). \quad (4)$$

Clearly that (4) is stronger than (3) since in the case of the NBU (NWU) service time distribution we have:

$$\frac{1 - \widehat{B}(\lambda)}{\widehat{B}(\lambda)} \geq \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \quad \left(\frac{1 - \widehat{B}(\lambda)}{\widehat{B}(\lambda)} \leq \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \right).$$

For a subcritical $A/M/1/n$ queue ($\rho = \lambda/\mu \leq 1$), in the case where an interarrival time distribution belongs to the IHR (DHR) class of distributions

we obtain $L_n \leq_{st} X_{n+1}$ ($L_n \geq_{st} X_{n+1}$). The process $\{X_n\}$ is a branching process, but not classical (the precise definition of this process is given in Section 4). Thus, combining this result with the result of [3] we conclude the following. If $\rho \leq 1$, then in the case when an interarrival time distribution belongs to the IHR (DHR) class of distributions we have $Z_{n+1} \leq_{st} L_n \leq_{st} X_{n+1}$ ($X_{n+1} \leq_{st} L_n \leq_{st} Z_{n+1}$).

The paper is organized as follows. It consists of 4 sections. Section 2 introduces the reader to the up- and down-crossings method of [3] and extends the results of [3] to the special class of $A/B/1/n$ queues (described exactly in that Section 2). The results related to $M/B/1/n$ and $A/M/1/n$ queues are then developed in Sections 3 and 4 respectively. In turn, Section 4 is divided into subsections, containing preliminary information on the properties of the $A/M/1/n$ queues. The most significant property is a monotonicity, which is considered in Section 4.1. Section 4.2 introduces and studies a special type of branching process, which is then used for the main result of Section 4 - Theorem 4.3.

2 Stochastic inequalities for $GI/GI/1/n$ queues

In this section we establish stochastic inequalities for a class of $A/B/1/n$ queues. Specifically, assuming that the probability distributions $A(x)$ and $B(x)$ belong to the opposite classes of NBU and NWU, i.e either $A(x)$ belongs to NBU and $B(x)$ belongs to NWU, or $A(x)$ belongs to NWU and $B(x)$ belongs to NBU, we have the following.

Theorem 2.1 *Under the assumption that $A(x)$ belongs to NBU (NWU), and $B(x)$ belongs to NWU (NBU), and a busy period is finite with probability 1, we have*

$$L_n \geq_{st} X_{n+1} \quad \left(L_n \leq_{st} X_{n+1} \right). \quad (5)$$

X_n in (5) is the number of offspring in the n th generation of the Galton-Watson branching process with $X_0 = 1$ and the offspring generating function

$$g(z) = \frac{1-r}{1-zr}, \quad |z| \leq 1, \quad (6)$$

where $r = 1 - \int_0^\infty [1 - A(x)] dB(x)$.

Proof. The proof is provided only in the case where $A(x)$ belongs to the NBU class and $B(x)$ belongs to the NWU class. The opposite case is analogous.

Let $f_n(j)$, $0 \leq j \leq n+1$, denote the number of customers arriving during a busy period who, upon their arrival, meet j customers in the system. Under the assumption that a busy period is finite we have $f_n(0) = 1$ with

probability 1. Let $t_{j,1}^n, t_{j,2}^n, \dots, t_{j,f_n(j)}^n$ be the instants of arrival of these $f_n(j)$ customers, and let $s_{j,1}^n, s_{j,2}^n, \dots, s_{j,f_n(j)}^n$ be the instants of service completions (departures) at which there remain only j customers in the system. Notice, that $t_{n+1,k}^n = s_{n+1,k}^n, \quad 1 \leq k \leq f_n(n+1) = L_n$.

For $0 \leq j \leq n$ let us consider the following intervals:

$$\left[t_{j,1}^n, s_{j,1}^n \right), \left[t_{j,2}^n, s_{j,2}^n \right), \dots, \left[t_{j,f_n(j)}^n, s_{j,f_n(j)}^n \right). \quad (7)$$

It is clear that the intervals

$$\left[t_{j+1,1}^n, s_{j+1,1}^n \right), \left[t_{j+1,2}^n, s_{j+1,2}^n \right), \dots, \left[t_{j+1,f_n(j+1)}^n, s_{j+1,f_n(j+1)}^n \right) \quad (8)$$

are contained in intervals (7). Let us delete the intervals in (8) from those in (7) and connect the ends. That is, we connect every point $t_{j+1,k}^n$ with the corresponding point $s_{j+1,k}^n, \quad 1 \leq k \leq f_n(j+1)$, if the set of intervals (8) is not empty.

We will use the following notation. Take the interval $[t_{j,k}^n, s_{j,k}^n)$. Within this interval there is a number of inserted points, say m . If $m > 0$ then these points are numbered as $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Let $A_{j,k}^{(i)}(x)$ denote the probability distribution of the residual time in point i until the next arrival, and let $B_{j,k}^{(i)}(x)$ denote the probability distribution of the residual service time in point i . Then $A_{j,k}^{(0)}(x)$ is the probability distribution of the residual time in the initial point $t_{j,k}^n$ of the interval $[t_{j,k}^n, s_{j,k}^n)$ until the next arrival. Since $t_{j,k}^n$ is the moment of arrival, then $A_{j,k}^{(0)}(x) = A(x)$ for all $j \geq 0$ and $k \geq 1$. $B_{j,k}^{(0)}(x)$ is the probability distribution of the residual service time in the initial point $t_{j,k}^n$.

Let us take the interval $[t_{j,k}^n, s_{j,k}^n)$ and a customer in service in time $t_{j,k}^n$. Let $\tau_{j,k}$ be the time elapsed from the moment of the service begun for that customer until time $t_{j,k}^n$. Then for residual service time $\vartheta_{j,k}$ of the tagged customer we have

$$\begin{aligned} P[\vartheta_{j,k} \leq x] &= P[\chi \leq \tau_{j,k} + x \mid \chi > \tau_{j,k}] \\ &= \int_0^\infty P[\chi \leq y + x \mid \chi > y] dP[\tau_{j,k} \leq y]. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

According to the above convention, the probability of (9) is denoted by $B_{j,k}^{(0)}(x)$. Let $\kappa_{j,k}$ denote the number of inserted points within the interval $[t_{j,k}^n, s_{j,k}^n)$, so

$$\sum_{k=1}^{f_n(j)} \kappa_{j,k} \triangleq f_n(j+1).$$

Then,

$$P[\kappa_{j,k} = 0] = \int_0^\infty [1 - A_{j,k}^{(0)}(x)] d B_{j,k}^{(0)}(x),$$

and for $m \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} P[\kappa_{j,k} = m] &= \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \left[1 - \int_0^\infty [1 - A_{j,k}^{(i)}(x)] d B_{j,k}^{(i)}(x) \right] \times \\ &\times \int_0^\infty [1 - A_{j,k}^{(m)}(x)] d B_{j,k}^{(m)}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Relationship (10) looks cumbersome, but it has a simple explanation. The term

$$\int_0^\infty [1 - A_{j,k}^{(m)}(x)] d B_{j,k}^{(m)}(x)$$

is the probability that during the residual service time corresponding to the m th inserted point there is no arrival, or in other words, the m th inserted point is last. Similarly, the product term

$$1 - \int_0^\infty [1 - A_{j,k}^{(i)}(x)] d B_{j,k}^{(i)}(x)$$

is the probability that during the residual service time corresponding to the i th inserted point there is at least one arrival.

Taking into account that both $A(x) \leq A_{j,k}^{(i)}(x)$ and $B_{j,k}^{(i)}(x) \leq B(x)$ for all j, k and i , we have the following. Let κ_X be geometrically distributed random variable, $P[\kappa_X = m] = r^m(1-r)$, $m = 0, 1, \dots$, where the parameters r is determined in the formulation of the theorem. Then, $\kappa_X \geq_{st} \kappa_{j,k}$, for all $j \geq 0$ and $k \geq 1$, and we have the following. Let $\kappa_X^{(j,k)}$ be the sequences of independent identically distributed integer random variables all having the same distribution as the random variable κ_X . We have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{f_n(j)} \kappa_{j,k} \leq_{st} \sum_{k=1}^{f_n(j)} \kappa_X^{(j,k)}.$$

Taking into account that

$$X_{j+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{X_j} \kappa_X^{(j,k)},$$

owing to induction we have

$$X_j \leq_{st} f_n(j),$$

and therefore $f_n(n+1) = L_n \geq_{st} X_{n+1}$. The statement of the theorem is proved. $\diamond$

From Theorem 2.1 we have the following special cases.

Corollary 2.2 *Under the assumption that $A(x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}$, and $B(x)$ belongs to class NWU (NBU), we have (5). X_n in (5) is the number of offspring in the n th generation of the Galton-Watson branching process with $X_0 = 1$ and the offspring generating function*

$$g(z) = \frac{\widehat{B}(\lambda)}{1 - z + z\widehat{B}(\lambda)}, \quad |z| \leq 1, \quad (11)$$

Proof. Putting $A(x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}$, we have

$$r = 1 - \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda x} d B(x) = 1 - \widehat{B}(\lambda), \quad (12)$$

and the statement follows by substituting (12) for (6). $\diamond$

Corollary 2.3 (Abramov [3].) *Under the assumption that $B(x) = 1 - e^{-\mu x}$, and $A(x)$ belongs to class NBU (NWU), we have (5). X_n in (5) is the number of offspring in the n th generation of the Galton-Watson branching process with $X_0 = 1$ and the offspring generating function*

$$g(z) = \frac{1 - \widehat{A}(\mu)}{1 - z\widehat{A}(\mu)}, \quad |z| \leq 1.$$

Proof. Putting $B(x) = 1 - e^{-\mu x}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} r &= 1 - \int_0^\infty [1 - A(x)] \mu e^{-\mu x} dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty A(x) \mu e^{-\mu x} dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\mu x} d A(x) \\ &= \widehat{A}(\mu). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Substituting (13) for (6) we obtain the desired representation. $\diamond$

3 Stronger inequalities for $M/GI/1/n$ queues

In this section we develop the result for the $M/B/1/n$ queue given by Corollary 2.2. The main result of this section is the following.

Theorem 3.1 *Under the assumption that $A(x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}$, and $B(x)$ belongs to class NWU (NBU), we have*

$$L_n \geq_{st} \sum_{i=1}^{X_n} \tau_i \quad \left(L_n \leq_{st} \sum_{i=1}^{X_n} \tau_i \right),$$

where the branching process $\{X_n\}$ is the same as in Corollary 2.2, and $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots$ is a sequence of independent identically distributed nonnegative integer random variables,

$$P[\tau_i = k] = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda x} \frac{(\lambda x)^k}{k!} d B(x).$$

Proof. Considering first the $M/B/1/0$ loss queue without waiting places it is not difficult to see that

$$L_0 =_{st} \text{Number of Poisson arrivals per service time,}$$

that is,

$$P[L_0 = k] = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda x} \frac{(\lambda x)^k}{k!} d B(x).$$

Let us now consider the $M/B/1/n$ queue, where $f_n(n)$ is the number of cases during a busy period when an arriving customer meets n customers in the system (recall that $L_n \triangleq f_n(n+1)$). Then, the number of losses L_n coincides in distribution with

$$\sum_{i=1}^{f_n(n)} \tau_i,$$

where the sequence $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots$ is a sequence of independent identically distributed integer random variables, coinciding in distribution with L_0 .

It follows from the proof of Theorem 2.1, that if in the $A/B/1/n$ queue $A(x)$ is NBU (NWU) and $B(x)$ is NWU (NBU), then

$$f_n(n) \geq_{st} X_n \quad \left(f_n(n) \leq_{st} X_n \right) \quad (14)$$

where the branching process $\{X_n\}$ is defined in Theorem 2.1, i.e. $X_0 = 1$, and the offspring generating function is determined by 6. Therefore, in the case of $A(x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}$ we obtain 14, where now the offspring generating function of the branching process is defined by (11). This enables us to conclude that under the assumptions of the theorem

$$L_n \geq_{st} \sum_{i=1}^{X_n} \tau_i \quad \left(L_n \leq_{st} \sum_{i=1}^{X_n} \tau_i \right),$$

and the statement is therefore proved.

Considering now the $A/B/1/n$ queueing system, let T_n, ν_n denote the length of a busy period and the number of served customers during a busy period respectively, and let $\chi^{(1)}, \chi^{(2)}, \dots$ be a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables all having the probability distribution function $B(x)$. We have

$$\nu_n = \sum_{j=0}^n f_n(j),$$

$$T_n = \sum_{m=1}^{\nu_n} \chi^{(m)}.$$

Immediately from the above proof, under the assumption that $A(x)$ is NBU (NWU), and $B(x)$ is NWU (NBU), we have

$$\nu_n \geq_{st} \sum_{i=0}^n X_i, \quad \left(\nu_n \leq_{st} \sum_{i=0}^n X_i \right), \quad (15)$$

where the branching process $\{X_n\}$ is defined in Theorem 2.1. If $A(x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}$, then (15) holds true. The only difference that the offspring generating function of the process $\{X_n\}$ is given by (11).

Whereas the sequence of $\chi^{(1)}, \chi^{(2)}, \dots$ consists of independent identically distributed random variables, the random variable ν_n is independent of the future, that is the event $\{\nu_n = i\}$ is independent of $\chi^{(i+1)}, \chi^{(i+2)}, \dots$ (e.g. [6]). Therefore $E[T_n]$ is determined by the Wald's identity: $\mu E[T_n] = E[\nu_n]$. Then under the above assumptions that $A(x)$ is NBU (NWU) and $B(x)$ is NWU (NBU), we have

$$E[T_n] \geq \frac{1}{\mu} E\left[\sum_{i=0}^n X_i\right] \quad \left(E[T_n] \leq \frac{1}{\mu} E\left[\sum_{i=0}^n X_i\right] \right). \quad (16)$$

Taking into account that $E[X_n] = r^n / (1 - r)^n$, under the above assumptions from (16) we obtain

$$E[T_n] \geq \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{r^i}{(1 - r)^i} \quad \left(E[T_n] \leq \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{r^i}{(1 - r)^i} \right).$$

Clearly, that in the case where $A(x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}$ the parameter r is equal to $1 - \hat{B}(\lambda)$ (see the proof of Corollary 2.2).

4 Further stochastic inequalities for the $GI/M/1/n$ loss system

Being the special case of Theorem 2.1, Corollary 2.3 provides the stochastic inequalities for the $A/M/1/n$ under the assumption that $A(x)$ belongs to the class NBU (NWU). Assuming now that $A(x)$ belongs to the class IHR (DHR), we provide a deepen analysis in order to obtain stronger stochastic inequalities.

4.1 Monotonicity

For the sake of simplicity the $A/M/1/n$ queueing system is denoted $\mathcal{Q}_n$. Recall that parameter n excludes the position of a customer in service. For n

and k different, $\mathcal{Q}_n, \mathcal{Q}_k$ are two queueing systems with the same probability distribution functions of interarrival and service time but different number of waiting places. For example, $\mathcal{Q}_0$ denotes the $A/M/1/0$ queueing system without waiting places, a busy period of which contains only a single service time.

Consider a busy period of the queueing system $\mathcal{Q}_n$. Let us consider the interval $[t_{0,1}^n, s_{0,1}^n)$ after the procedure of deleting from it all the intervals $[t_{1,l}^n, s_{1,l}^n)$, $l = 1, 2, \dots, f_n(1)$, and connecting the ends as it is described in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Then, let $i_1^n, i_2^n, \dots, i_{f_n(1)}^n$ denote the inserted points within the interval $[t_{0,1}^n, s_{0,1}^n)$, and let d_l^n denote the distance between the two adjacent points i_l^n and i_{l+1}^n ($l = 1, 2, \dots, f_n(1) - 1$), that is, $d_l^n = i_{l+1}^n - i_l^n$. If $f_n(1) = 0$, i.e. there is no inserted points, then the distance between inserted points is not defined. If $f_n(1) = 1$, then by the value d_1^n we mean the distance between the point i_1^n and the next arrival of a customer at the system after the instant $s_{0,1}^n$.

Lemma 4.1 *Let $\mathcal{Q}_k$ and $\mathcal{Q}_n$ be two queueing systems, and let $A(x)$ belong to the IHR (DHR) class of distributions. If $k \leq n$ then*

$$d_l^n \leq_{st} d_l^k \quad \left(d_l^n \geq_{st} d_l^k \right).$$

Proof. Let us consider the queueing system $\mathcal{Q}_n$, and the interval $[t_{0,1}^n, s_{0,1}^n)$ after the procedure of deleting from it all intervals $[t_{1,l}^n, s_{1,l}^n)$, $l = 1, 2, \dots, f_n(1)$, and connecting the ends. For convenience, we denote the sequence of independent identically and exponentially distributed random variables with parameter μ by $\chi^{(1)}, \chi^{(2)}, \dots$, and a random variable τ , having the probability distribution $A(x)$, is independent of this sequence $\chi^{(1)}, \chi^{(2)}, \dots$

The probability, that during the interval $[t_{0,1}^n, s_{0,1}^n)$ there is no arrival, is

$$1 - \int_0^\infty \mu e^{-\mu x} A(x) dx = 1 - \hat{A}(\mu).$$

Obviously, that this probability is independent of parameter n . Let us assume that there is the inserted point i_1^n and, therefore, the instant of arrival $t_{1,1}^n$.

Let q_n denote the stationary number of customers in the queueing system $\mathcal{Q}_n$ immediately after arrival of a customer at the system *during a busy period*, i.e. not into the empty system. (An arriving customer, who finds all waiting places busy, leaves the system without incrementing and decrementing the number of customers in the queue.) Let $\tilde{q}_n = q_n - 1$, and let

$$v = \inf \left\{ m : \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{q}_n} \chi^{(j+m-1)} \leq \tau \right\}.$$

(The empty sum is assumed to be 0. The case of empty sum arises only by considering of the queueing system $\mathcal{Q}_0$.) Then

$$d_l^n \stackrel{d'}{=} \tau - \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{q}_n} \chi^{(v+j-1)}. \quad (17)$$

For example, in the case of the queueing system $\mathcal{Q}_0$, we have

$$P[d_l^0 \leq x] = P[\tau \leq x] = A(x),$$

and in the case of the queueing system $\mathcal{Q}_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} P[d_l^1 \leq x] &= P[\tau - \chi^{(1)} \leq x | \tau > \chi^{(1)}] \\ &= \int_0^\infty P[\tau \leq x + y | \tau > y] \mu e^{-\mu y} dy \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{A(x + y) - A(y)}{1 - A(y)} \mu e^{-\mu y} dy. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

By analysis of sample paths it is clear that for these two queueing systems $\mathcal{Q}_n$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{n+1}$

$$\tilde{q}_n \leq_{st} \tilde{q}_{n+1}. \quad (19)$$

Since $A(x)$ belongs to the IHR (DHR) class of distributions, then (19) together with (17) yield $d_l^{n+1} \leq_{st} d_l^n$ ($d_l^{n+1} \geq_{st} d_l^n$). The statement of lemma follows. $\diamond$

Remark 4.2 *Lemma 4.1 establishes a property of external monotonicity. However, from Lemma 4.1 we obtain the property of internal monotonicity as well. Indeed, in the case of the GI/M/1/n queueing system, because of the property of the lack of memory of the exponential distribution of a service time, any interval of (7) is distributed as a busy period of the queueing system $\mathcal{Q}_{n-j}$, $0 \leq j \leq n$. Therefore the distance between two inserted points of each interval (7) coincides in distribution with d_1^{n-j} .*

4.2 A branching process

Let us consider the A/M/1 queueing system (with infinite number of waiting places), denoting it by $\mathcal{Q}$ and remaining for this system all the above notation given earlier for the queueing system $\mathcal{Q}_n$. Assume additionally that the load $\rho = \lambda/\mu \leq 1$.

Analogously to the case of the queueing system $\mathcal{Q}_n$, for the queueing system $\mathcal{Q}$ let $f(j)$, $j \geq 0$, denote the number of customers, arriving during a busy period, who, upon their arrival meet j customers in the system ($f(0) = 1$). Let $t_{j,1}, t_{j,2}, \dots, t_{j,f(j)}$ be the instants of these arrivals,

and let $s_{j,1}, s_{j,2}, \dots, s_{j,f(j)}$ be the instants of corresponding service completions defined analogously to the case of the queueing system $\mathcal{Q}_n$. Let $\mathcal{F}_j = \sigma\{f(0), f(1), \dots, f(j)\}$.

It is claimed in [4], that the stochastic sequence $\{f(j), \mathcal{F}_j\}$ is a Galton-Watson branching process, and $E[f(1)] = \varphi$, where φ is the least in absolute value root of the functional equation $z = \hat{A}(\mu - \mu z)$.

According to the standard definition of the Galton-Watson branching process, the number of offspring generated by all particles are mutually independent random variables (e.g. Harris [8]). The Galton-Watson branching process $\{f(j), \mathcal{F}_j\}$, considered in [4] for the case of $GI/M/1$ queues, is not traditional. The number of offspring generated by particles of different generations are not independent random variables. More precisely, the number of offspring of the n th generation is an independent of the future random variable with respect to the numbers of offspring generated by particles of the n th generation.

Notice, that connection between standard branching process and optimal stopping times has been discussed by Assaf, Goldstein and Samuel-Sahn [5].

For a more detailed explanation the structure of the abovementioned dependence, related to the above case of the $A/M/1$ queueing system, let us consider the interval $[t_{0,1}, s_{0,1})$, and assume that there is a point $t_{1,1}$. Let $d_1 = t_{1,2} - s_{1,1}$ denote the distance between the begin of the second interval and the end of the first one (provided that the second interval does exist). If there is only a single interval then d_1 also has sense as it is explained in Section 4.1.

If during the time interval $[t_{1,1}, s_{1,1})$ there is no new arrival (denote this event by E_0), then

$$\begin{aligned} P[d_1 \leq x | E_0] &= P[\tau - \chi_1 \leq x | \tau > \chi_1] \\ &= \int_0^\infty P[\tau \leq x + y | \tau > y] \mu e^{-\mu y} dy \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{A(x + y) - A(y)}{1 - A(y)} \mu e^{-\mu y} dy. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Recall that $P[\tau \leq x] = A(x)$, and $P[\chi_1 \leq x] = 1 - e^{-\mu x}$. Thus (20) coincides with (18), and $P[d_1 \leq x | E_0] = P[d_1^1 \leq x]$. For example, if $P[\tau = 1] = 1$ and $\mu \geq 1$, then from (20) we obtain

$$P[d_1 \leq x | E_0] = \min \left\{ 1, \frac{e^{\mu x - \mu} - e^{-\mu}}{1 - e^{-\mu}} \right\}, \quad x \geq 0.$$

If during the time interval $[t_{1,1}, s_{1,1})$ there is at least one arrival (denote this event by E_1), then we have the following. Let $\{q(i)\}_{i \geq 1}$ be a stationary sequence of the numbers of customers in the system immediately *before* arrival of a customer during a busy period (i.e. not into the empty system). Let us consider the sequence $\{q(i)1_{[q(i) \geq 2]}\}_{i \geq 1}$. Taking only the positive elements

of this sequence one can construct a *new stationary sequence* $\{\tilde{q}(i)\}_{i \geq 1}$ all elements of which are not smaller than 2. Then,

$$v = \inf \left\{ m : \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{q}(1)} \chi^{(j+m-1)} \leq \tau \right\},$$

and

$$P[d_1 \leq x | E_1] = P \left[\tau - \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{q}(1)} \chi^{(j+v-1)} \leq x \right]. \quad (21)$$

Comparing (20) and (21) it is not difficult to conclude that if $A(x)$ belongs to the IHR (DHR) class of distributions, then

$$\begin{aligned} P[d_1 \leq x | E_0] &\leq P[d_1 \leq x | E_1] \\ \left(P[d_1 \leq x | E_0] \geq P[d_1 \leq x | E_1] \right) \end{aligned}$$

For example, if $P[\tau = 1] = 1$, and $\mu \geq 1$, then we have the strong inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} P[d_1 \leq x | E_0] &= \min \left\{ 1, \frac{e^{\mu x - \mu} - e^{-\mu}}{1 - e^{-\mu}} \right\} \\ &< P[d_1 \leq x | E_1] \quad (x \geq 0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the random variable $f(1)$ depends on the events E_0 and E_1 . In other words $f(1)$ can have different distributions if a particle of the first generation has or does not have an offspring. Let us call such Galton-Watson branching process by *GI/M/1 type Galton-Watson branching process*.

Notice, that the known property of a Galton-Watson branching process that $E[f(j)] = \varphi^j$ (e.g. Doob [7], Harris [8]), is also remain in force for the *GI/M/1 type Galton-Watson branching process*.

Indeed, according to the total expectation formula, for $E[f(1)]$ we obtain:

$$E[f(1)] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E[f(n)] \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\mu x} \frac{(\mu x)^n}{n!} dA(x) \quad (22)$$

By the same arguments for all $j \geq 1$ we have:

$$E[f(j+1)] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E[f(n+j)] \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\mu x} \frac{(\mu x)^n}{n!} dA(x).$$

Therefore $Ef(n) = z^n$, and from (22) we have:

$$E[f(1)] = z = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\mu x} \frac{(\mu x)^n}{n!} dA(x) = \hat{A}(\mu - \mu z).$$

Since $z < 1$, then $z = \varphi$, and $E[f(n)] = \varphi^n$.

4.3 The number of losses during a busy period

Returning to the queueing system $\mathcal{Q}_n$ once again, assume additionally that the load $\rho \leq 1$. All queueing systems $\mathcal{Q}_n$ with different n and the queueing system $\mathcal{Q}$ are assumed to be given on the same probability space, and the probability distribution function $A(x)$ belongs to the IHR (DHR) class of distributions. According to Lemma 4.1 we have

$$d_l \leq_{st} d_l^n \quad (d_l \geq_{st} d_l^n), \quad (23)$$

where d_l is the distance between the l th and $l + 1$ st inserted points of the queueing system $\mathcal{Q}$, as it is precisely defined in Section 4.2. Stochastic inequality (23) is the limiting case, as $k \rightarrow \infty$, of a series of inequalities for the distances $d_l^k \leq_{st} d_l^n$ ($d_l^k \geq_{st} d_l^n$), given for all $k > n$.

Let us now consider the interval $[t_{0,1}^n, s_{0,1}^n)$ after deleting all the intervals $[t_{1,j}^n, s_{1,j}^n)$ and connecting the ends, as it is explained above. Then the remaining interval, because of the property of the lack of memory, is exponentially distributed with parameter μ , and it coincides in distribution with the interval $[t_{0,1}, s_{0,1})$, associated with the queueing system $\mathcal{Q}$, remaining after deleting of all the intervals $[t_{1,j}, s_{1,j})$ and connecting the ends. Under the assumption that both queueing processes of $\mathcal{Q}_n$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ are defined on the same probability space, one may consider only one of these intervals, comparing then the sample path of relevant processes. Then for the number of losses L_n during a busy period of the queueing system $\mathcal{Q}_n$ we have the following.

Theorem 4.3 *If $A(x)$ belongs to the IHR (DHR) class of distributions, and the load $\rho \leq 1$, then*

$$L_n \leq_{st} Y_{n+1} \quad (L_n \geq_{st} Y_{n+1}),$$

where Y_n denotes the number of offspring in the n th generation of the GI/M/1 type Galton-Watson branching process generated by the queueing system $\mathcal{Q}$.

Notice, that under the assumptions of Theorem 4.3 we have the inequality

$$E[L_n] \leq \varphi^{n+1} \quad (E[L_n] \geq \varphi^{n+1}). \quad (24)$$

On the other hand, taking into account that the class IHR (DHR) is contained in the class NBU (NWU), from Corollary 2.3 we obtain the inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{\hat{A}(\mu)}{1 - \hat{A}(\mu)} \right]^{n+1} &\leq E[L_n] \\ \left(\left[\frac{\hat{A}(\mu)}{1 - \hat{A}(\mu)} \right]^{n+1} \geq E[L_n] \right). \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Joining (24) and (25), under the assumptions of Theorem 4.3 we obtain the two-side inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{\hat{A}(\mu)}{1 - \hat{A}(\mu)} \right]^{n+1} &\leq E[L_n] \leq \varphi^{n+1} \\ \left(\left[\frac{\hat{A}(\mu)}{1 - \hat{A}(\mu)} \right]^{n+1} \geq E[L_n] \geq \varphi^{n+1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

For example, in the case of the $M/M/1/n$ queueing system, when $A(x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}$, from (26) we obtain $E[L_n] = \rho^{n+1}$.

It is interesting to note the following property. It follows from (24) that if $A(x)$ belongs to the IHR (DHR) class of distributions and $\rho \leq 1$ ($\rho \geq 1$), then $E[L_n] \leq 1$ ($E[L_n] \geq 1$) for all $n \geq 0$. This is the special case of the more general result of Wolff [12] for losses in $GI/GI/1/n$ queues under the assumption that interarrival time distribution belongs to the class NBUE (NWUE).

Let us provide inequalities for a busy period T_n and the number of customers served during a busy period of the $A/M/1/n$ queue. Under the assumption that $A(x)$ is IHR (DHR) and $\rho < 1$, we have

$$\nu_n \leq_{st} \sum_{j=0}^n Y_j \quad \left(\nu_n \geq_{st} \sum_{j=0}^n Y_j \right), \quad (27)$$

where the branching process $\{Y_j\}$ is as in Theorem 4.3.

From (27), assuming that $A(x)$ is IHR (DHR) and $\rho < 1$, we obtain

$$E[\nu_n] \leq \sum_{i=0}^n \varphi^i \quad \left(E[\nu_n] \geq \sum_{i=0}^n \varphi^i \right). \quad (28)$$

On the other hand, taking into account that class IHR (DHR) is contained in class NBU (NWU), from Corollary 2.3 we obtain the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^n \left[\frac{\hat{A}(\mu)}{1 - \hat{A}(\mu)} \right]^i &\leq E[\nu_n] \\ \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \left[\frac{\hat{A}(\mu)}{1 - \hat{A}(\mu)} \right]^i \geq E[\nu_n] \right) \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Combining (28) and (29), under the above assumptions we obtain the two-side inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^n \left[\frac{\hat{A}(\mu)}{1 - \hat{A}(\mu)} \right]^i &\leq E[\nu_n] \leq \sum_{i=0}^n \varphi^i \\ \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \left[\frac{\hat{A}(\mu)}{1 - \hat{A}(\mu)} \right]^i \geq E[\nu_n] \geq \sum_{i=0}^n \varphi^i \right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by Wald's identity we have

$$\frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=0}^n \left[\frac{\hat{A}(\mu)}{1 - \hat{A}(\mu)} \right]^i \leq E[T_n] \leq \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=0}^n \varphi^i$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=0}^n \left[\frac{\hat{A}(\mu)}{1 - \hat{A}(\mu)} \right]^i \geq E[T_n] \geq \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=0}^n \varphi^i \right).$$

Acknowledgement

The author thanks Taleb Samira (USTHB, Algeria) for useful comment.

References

- [1] Abramov, V.M., *Investigation of a Queueing System with Service Depending on Queue Length*. Donish, Dushanbe, Tadzhikistan, 1991. (In Russian.)
- [2] Abramov, V.M., On the asymptotic distribution of the maximum number of infectives in epidemic models with immigration, *Journal of Applied Probability* **31** (1994), 606-613.
- [3] Abramov, V.M., Inequalities for the $GI/M/1/n$ loss system, *Journal of Applied Probability* **38** (2001), 232-234.
- [4] Abramov, V.M., Some results for large closed queueing networks with and without bottleneck: Up- and down-crossings approach, *Queueing Systems* **38** (2001), 149-184.
- [5] Assaf, D., Goldstein, L. and Samuel-Sahn, E., An unexpected connection between branching processes and optimal stopping, *Journal of Applied Probability* **37** (2000), 613-626.
- [6] Borovkov, A.A., *Theory of Probability*. Nauka, Moscow, 1986. (In Russian.)
- [7] Doob, J.L., *Stochastic Processes*. John Wiley, New York, 1953.
- [8] Harris, T.E., *The Theory of Branching Processes*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1963.
- [9] Peköz, E.A., Richter, R. and Xia, C.H., Characterizing losses in finite buffer systems, *Journal of Applied Probability* **40** (2003), 242-249.
- [10] Richter, R., A note on losses in the $M/GI/1/n$ queue, *Journal of Applied Probability* **36** (1999), 1240-1243.

- [11] Stoyan, D. *Comparison Methods for Queues and Other Stochastic Models*. John Wiley, Chichester, 1983.
- [12] Wolff, R.W., Losses per cycle in a single-server queue, *Journal of Applied Probability* **39** (2002), 905-909.